

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/905,193	07/16/2001	Frank Burkert	1454.1076	1454.1076 3054	
21171 7	590 09/07/2006		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			HARPER, KEVIN C		
	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		2616		
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/200	DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/905,193	BURKERT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin C. Harper	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 June 2006.  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-6 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 5 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 6 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 June 2006 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of	$\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected to liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   A)   Interview Summary (PTO-413)					

Art Unit: 2616

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed June 15, 2006 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Soumiya et al. (US 5,696,764) in view of Kalliojarvi (US 6,438,732).

#### **Drawing**

A replacement drawing was received on June 15, 2006. This drawing is acceptable.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soumiya et al. (US 5,696,764) in view of Kalliojarvi (US 6,438,732).

1. Soumiya discloses an apparatus for protecting against packet losses in packet-oriented data transmission (fig. 30). The apparatus comprises a transmitter (fig. 30 originating side; fig. 15, item 301) for forming and transmitting data packets (fig. 30, frames/packets 2-4) with a flag byte providing end-of-packet information at the end of each packet (fig. 16, EF; note: end-of-frame flag for a standardized frame relay frame/packet) prior to generating redundant equal-sized packets (fig. 30, second copy of packets 2-4; col. 8, lines 46-60; note: a redundant frame/packet has the equal size of the original frame/packet.). The apparatus also comprises a receiver for receiving the data packets and if the data packet was not received successfully, replacing the data

Art Unit: 2616

packet using the corresponding redundant equal-sized packet (col. 8, lines 50-55; fig. 30, retransmitted frame).

2. However, Soumiya does not disclose reconstructing the original data packet. Kalliojarvi discloses reconstructing a data packet using a retransmission of the data packet (col. 9, line 65 through col. 10, line 6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to reconstruct a packet using a retransmission in the invention of Soumiya in order to more successfully provide an error-free packet (Kalliojarvi, col. 10, lines 6-8).

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-2 and 4-5 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/905,193

Art Unit: 2616

193 Page 4

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To, can be reached at 571-272-7629. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 571-273-8300. For non-official communications, the examiner's personal fax number is 571-273-3166 and the examiner's e-mail address is kevin.harper@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

September 4, 2006